



Purpose

Ensuring a systematic inclusion of resilience considerations in ECHO funded projects

Monitor DG ECHO's performance in supporting resilience

The Marker ensures coherence with the EU policy on Resilience

Resilience Marker



RESILIENCE MARKER CRITERIA

(1) Does the proposal include an adequate analysis of shocks, stresses and vulnerabilities? Yes Not sufficiently

Does the proposal include an adequate analysis of shocks, stresses, vulnerabilities and capacities?

(2) Is the project risk informed? Does the project include adequate measures to ensure it does not aggravate risks or undermine capacities? Yes Not sufficiently

(3) Does the project include measures to build local capacities (beneficiaries + local institutions)? Yes Not sufficiently

(4) Does the project take opportunities to support long-term strategies to reduce humanitarian needs, underlying vulnerability and risks? Yes Not sufficiently

ECHO – 45 staff, 450 Single forms

"Useful, a good idea, a positive addition and a beneficial tool for partners to reflect on their performance."

Q: Summary question: What is your overall opinion of the marker?


<i>Positive</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Neutral</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Negative</i>	<i>8</i>

Q: Do the marker questions capture the concept of resilience?

<i>Yes</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>No</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Don't know</i>	<i>11</i>


Voice

Overall view on the introduction of the resilience marker




Resilience marker generating constructive interaction with ECHO representative

Not applicable Not at all Yes, a little Yes, very much



Interest in ECHO training or workshop on the resilience marker



Yes, very much
Yes, a little
Not necessary

Limitations....

- *Relevance to conflict response*
- *Time*
- *No difference when there was already a good dialogue with partners*
- *Projects were of a high enough standard already*
- *Can the marker assess quality?*

VOICE partners

- *ECHO expectations – adequate?*
- *How the marker will be used?*

.....dialogue/50%

Recommendation - Continue

- *Guidance altered*
- *Expectations are context specific – HIPs, technical annexes, ECHO TAs*
- *Questions – capacities*
- *Difference = follow up dialogue*

Training options

- *ECHO FPSA – e-single form*
- *Joint with Gender age marker*